THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

National Intelligence Council

23 September1985

NOTE FOR THE DIRECTOR

FROM: Herbert E. Meyer

Vice Chairman, NIC

Here's the latest on SDI from Charlie Douglas-Home.

Herbert E. Meyer

Attachment:
"Longbow or Shield"
The Times, 20 Sept 85

THE TIMES FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 20. 1985

Page 15



P.O. Box 7, 200 Gray's Inn Road, London WGIX: 8EZ. Telephone: 01837 1234

cause of his country's culture. died with south state of the series of the country Oiwig, who acts as a kind of

The Button which has been serialized in The Times this week, the author Daniel Ford suggests that the nuclear de fences of the USA dare so vulnerable to a Soviet attack that an administration might be left with little choice of survival in global emergency other than to fire first. Such an analysis must be one of the strongest factors in

protecting 110,000 Soviet militais such man system; massive means feasibility of the SDI programme tary officials and Party leaders. ductions in offensive missiles when all the technical achieve—The author goes on to say that me The President was as clear as ments of the last two years shows.

year the Soviet effort dwards the at Geneva are also to blame equivalent American an pro-squithese officials have been detergramme for the strategic defence wimed to burn the SDI proinitiative. And yet Mr. Gorba-gramme into a bargaining chip to chev has the cynicism to insist be traded if necessary for Soviet

favour of the development of the phatically again that the SDL is wonce again re-asserted his prin-

Soviet leaders have not yet built he has always been in his justingreasing potential agolfo lates a cast iron network of shelters at fundamental commitment to the WriReagan also dealt with the resumed toyesterday), but on the whether or mot the Soviet Union? existence cof such a enetwork, could achieve a break-through in

In the extracts from his book into missile defences Even this bemembers of the negotiating team that the Americans discontinue? proposed reductions in nuclear their research programme while missiles trained on Europe. They he presumably hurries on with have misrepresented the Presihis.

Judgin of the president Reagan state so emergence referring to his intransi-President Reagan state so eme gence"; simply because he has favour of the development of the phatically again that the SDL is wonce again re-asserted his prinstrategic defence initiative. It not negotiable during its research accipled approach to SDL He would reprieve the USA from its and development phase it is not should not have to do so if he current position of having to rely a bargaining chip at Geneva find was served by a bireaucracy only on the threat of retaliation lexchange for adjustment of the first itself. So it union from ductions find the number of the hook the on Europe However come the So it union a false impression so viet Union is linder no such imment of deployment for any that SDI would be on the table illusion and has built up a strategic defensive system, the whatever the President had said formidable system of nuclear President would then engage the This sofficial subversion in the table of nuclear president would then engage the fair sofficial subversion in the the defences already. There are more Soviet leadership in discussing daministration goes deeper, by than 2,000 bunkers capable of the attractive consequences of casting doubts on the technical protecting 1.10,000 Soviet militiasuch has system massive reason feasibility of the SDI programme.

a' cast iron network of shelters at tundamental commitment to the Mrt Reagan also dealt with the the centre, but he overlooks the philosophy and morality and recently successful American test fact—certainly known to NATO strategic defence in preference to obtain anti-satellite weapon sys—that the Kremlin has for two the fundamental immorality of settern it is the same story as with years been constructing the not searching for a possible SDI, in the sense as he said, that biggest bunker ever. It involves alternative to the doctrine of the United States were playing about 100 miles of tunnelling mutual retaliation as the sole act, up. The Soviet Union has south of Moscow to a depth of at means of defence in the nuclear gompleted its testing programme least 700 metres with a virtually wage diffs not actifishe world was south of Moscow to a depth of at means of defence in the nuclear incompleted its testing programme least 700 metres with a virtually lage. It is not as if the world was moleant satellite missiles. Could impregnable control centre at its short of evidence which showed the West stand by and concede heart. The whole operation has that the Soviet Union is fully not the Soviet leadership a involved tens of thousands of engaged in developing strategic monopoly in the ability to shoot specially screened workers and nuclear defences. Why therefore down satellites when so much has cost millions of pounds. We is there this pressure on President of them in meteor-have not yet heard any details of dent Reagan to desist from a hology civil and military combat during the arms control research programmes which discussions in Geneva (which would at the very least tell us monitor major aspects of Soviet resumed systemas), but onto whether or not the Soviet Union monitor major aspects of Soviet arms expansion? The President's existence cof such a snetwork peould achieve a break-through in difficulty is that great community combined with the enormous defensive systems and, if so, give dealer whough he may be this increase in offensive weapons, was the opportunity to acquire message and often dissident leads no a combine conclusion such a system ourselves? benoigh unorganized and often dissident about possible of the dissident parations in this bed all mains proper what is quite clear is that the bureaucracy and countered by parations in this bed all mains proper president has been persistently an effective Soviet propaganda coincided with an enormous mostly, but not exclusively in anti-Americanism of sommany discarch and development efforts the State department. Some educated Europeans

nicaningful table-like the separate even the child twenteith-contury life.

Approved For Release 2010/02/23: CIA-RDP87-00462R000100150024-4